

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,114	07/14/2003	Yi-Ming Sheu	TS02-1050 6852	
47390	7590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HOSTEMEYER & RISLEY LLP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY			BREWSTER, WILLIAM M	
SUITE 1750			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, C	GA 30339		2823	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/619,114	SHEU ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William M. Brewster	2823	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The Computer of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date. 	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, wal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of t	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Approximate was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per 	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	s of the date of filing	the Notice of
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: <u>Proposed amendment on 2 March 2005, requiring a new search</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4	nodifies the scope of the invention,		es, and possibly
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	` ''	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	l:		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		-	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	□ will not be entered, or b) □ wi vided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarian.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).	
13.	(WAVID COLEN PRIMARY EXAMI	IAN NER